

# Update on Short Pulse Studies for the APS

Dennis M. Mills

APS/Users Monthly Operations Mtg.

August 17, 2005

# Update on Short Pulse Production

- The RF, Accelerator Physics, and Accelerator Operations Groups have been continuing their investigations into the production of short ( $\sim 1$  ps) pulses at the APS.
- One of the main points being looked into has been the comparison between a room temperature (warm) pulsed rf deflection system and a superconducting (cold) continuously operating rf deflecting system.
- The latest findings from these groups were reported to APS management last week and will be summarized here.

# Performance Differences

- A cold system would chirp all the pulses between the two installed cavities (all pulses all the time).
- A warm system would necessarily have to be a pulsed system.
  - Rise/fall time <1 microsecond
  - Maximum pulse length 5 microseconds
  - 1 kHz repetition rate

# Hardware Availability

- We are looking at an 8th harmonic system (2.82 GHz)

- Cold

- Closest:

**2.856 GHz** (2.82 GHz)

**P<sub>ave</sub> = 40 kW for 100 mA op** (50 kW)

- Warm

- Between 5 to 10 MW pulsed at 2815 MHz ( 8×351.93 MHz)

- No “off the shelf” klystron to buy

- Closest:

**2.856 GHz** (2.82 GHz)

**5 MW Peak** (5 MW)

**16.3 μsec pulse** (ok)

**P<sub>ave</sub> = 32 kW** (25 kW)

**400 Hz rep rate** (1000 Hz)

# Pluses and Minuses

- A cold system:
  - Eats up more straight section (extended straights?)
  - Cost would be higher
  - Timeline estimated to be the same as warm (within 1/2 year)
  - Overall better compatibility with normal operations
  - Less susceptible to phase noise
- A warm system:
  - Some development work on klystron required
  - Transients always more difficult to “handle” than cw systems
  - Would be limited to a (few?) kilohertz rep rate

# Summarizing All the Issues

	Warm System		Cold System (Multi)		
	Technical Difficulty	Project Risk/Impact	Technical Difficulty	Project Risk/Impact	
<b>HOM/LOM/etc. Damping</b>	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Red	LOW
<b>100 kW (300 mA)</b>			Red	Yellow	
<b>40 kW (100 ma)</b>			Yellow	Yellow	MEDIUM
<b>5 MW 1 kHz klystron</b>	Yellow	Yellow			
<b>rf cavity structure</b>	Green	Green	Yellow	Yellow	
<b>input/output design</b>			Yellow	Yellow	
<b>6 MV deflecting voltage</b>	Green	Green	Yellow	Yellow	
<b>4 MV deflecting voltage</b>	Green	Green	Green	Green	
<b>real estate in straight</b>	Green	Green	Yellow	Yellow	
<b>Beam Operations</b>	Red	Red	Yellow	Yellow	
<b>BBU/Wakefields</b>	Yellow	Red			

# Moving On - What's Next?

- Continued refinement of the x-ray compression optics to improve throughput, focal spot-size, etc.
- Ray-tracings of beam through front end and beamline components need to be done.
- We see the *cold option as the preferred choice*, but will continue interactions/discussions with APS user community to determine which system simultaneously meets the needs of the time-resolved experimenters and the overall goals of the APS.